

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office states 1 MM to add to 2 MM to 1 S Void Hallo MM N to 2 MM to 1 S Void Hallo MM N to 2 MM to 1 S Void Hallo MM N to 2 MM to 2 MM

DD/ Love 200			What is a second 173 3 1	`-
APPLICATION NO	HLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	
09 743,233	01 08 2001	Herbert Eichenauer	MO-6093 LEA	CONFIRMATION N
BAYER POLYMERS LL 100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205	MERS LLC		MULLIS, JE	NER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003	

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/743.233 EICHENAUER, HERBERT Examiner Art Unit Jeffrey C. Mullis 1711 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{3}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SLK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133) Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any

Office Action Summary

Period for Reply

Status

	visitori Surimary	Part of Paper No. 12	
PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary		
1) Notice of References Dited (PTC-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement, (PTO-144)	4) [ew (PTO-948) 5) [9) Paper No:s) 6; [Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noisi Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other	
,0)			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for domestic priority under	r 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	nim for domestic priority under	r 35 U.S.C. & 119(o) (to a provision of	
* See the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the certified	Conies not received	
application from the li	pies of the priority documents	have been received in this National Stage	
2. Certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been re	eceived in Application No	
1 Certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been re	eceived.	
a) All b) Some * c) None	e of:		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12			
12) ine oath or declaration is objec	ted to by the Examiner.		
" approved, corrected drawings	are required in reply to this Office	e action	
11) The proposed drawing correction	on filed onis: a) ☐ appr	roved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that a	iny objection to the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance See 37 CFR 1 85(a)	
10) The drawing(s) filed oni	S/are all accented or hill at	blooted to builty F	
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner		
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	restriction and/or election req	quirement.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected			
6) Claim(s) <u>12 and 17</u> is/are reje			
5) Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 14-16</u> is/ar			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from cons	sideration.	
4) Claim(s) 2-10,12 and 14-17	s/are pending in the application	on.	
Disposition of Claims	, with the parte of	ayle, 1933 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	;
3) Since this application is in co	andition for allowance accept		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r		
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on <u>28 February 200</u>	03	

Serial No. 09/743,233

Art Unit 1711

This Office action is in response to applicant's RCE request of 2-28-03.

All previous rejections have been withdrawn.

Claims 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as filed does not disclose "adding to the polymerization mixture a second mixture that contains said persulfate compound and a second amount of monomers, in an amount quantities of 0.05 to 1.5 wt. percent relative to the weight of said second amount said second amount being 5 to 90% relative to the weight of the total amount of said monomers entailed in the polymerization" as is recited in Part "iii" of claim 17. This limitation is therefore new matter. Although it is noted that adding persulfate in amounts of 0.05-1.5 wt. percent is disclosed by the specification, the specification specifically discloses that this amount of persulfate is added to the material resulting from 10-95 wt. percent of monomer, i.e. the persulfate is added after 12-95 wt. percent of monomer as set out in Part "iii" at the bottom of page 9 of applicant's specification. Furthermore the level of "said second amount" of 5-90% is not disclosed in the specification at all. Furthermore the specification as filed Serial No. 09/743,233

Art Unit 1711

does not disclose adding "a second mixture" such as the one set out in "iii" of claim 17.

Claims 12 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear what is intended by the phrase "adding to the polymerization mixture" as recited in Part "iii" of claim 17 in that it is not stated which polymerization mixture the "second mixture" is added to. Thus claim 17 may be interpreted such that the polymerization of "iii" may refer to the "polymerization mixture" in the phrase "adding to the polymerization mixture a first mixture" in Part "iii" or may refer to the polymerization mixture resulting from the process described in "lii".

It is not clear what the phrase "in an amount quantities of" since this phrase makes no sense. Furthermore it is not clear if the 0.05 to 1.5 wt. percent refers to the amount of persulfate compound or second amount of monomers or both.

Applicant's arguments filed 2-28-03 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

Applicant argues that support for claim 17 is present in original claim 11. However the Examiner has reviewed original claim 11 and original claim 11 appears to bear little resemblance to newly presented claim 17 since this claim does not refer to a

Art Unit 1711

mixture of monomers in Part "iii" or contain the range of 5-90% and also requires a sequential addition of initiator i.e. azo initiator is added first followed by persulfate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Mullis whose telephone number is (703) 308-2820. The examiner can normally be reached in Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for this Group is before final (703) 872-9310 and after final (703) 8729311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

J. Mullis:cdc
April 30, 2003

770